



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,477

01/09/2007

Masao Sudoh

Q94121

2361

23373 7590 08/11/2011
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1621

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/11/2011

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,477	Applicant(s) SUDOH ET AL.		
	Examiner SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	Art Unit 1621		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>condition for allowance</u>			
(1) <u>SUDHAKAR KATAKAM.</u>	(3) _____.			
(2) <u>Jennifer Hayes.</u>	(4) _____.			
Date of Interview: _____	Time: <u>1:15 pm</u>			
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>N/A</i> Claims discussed: <i>all pending claims</i> Prior art documents discussed: <i>N/A</i>				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>				
Part III. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>/SUDHAKAR KATAKAM/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621</div> <div>(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</div> </div>				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed the following amendments to applicants agent:

- Make claim 18 depends on claim 1;
- In claim 19 and 33, incorporate "micelle water dispersion liquid of" in the claim language;
- In claim 31, delete "preventing" and "neurodegenerative diseases, nerve disorders or diseases", and limit the claim to specific diseases;
- In claim 36, line 1, delete word "suitable".

.